
Mar 30, 2020  428

Covid19 yet to impact

Europe's overall mortality

Year-to-date statistics show excess mortality lower than previous years




Image source.


The official figures for 24 countries across Europe show, not only that overall mortality is not increasing, but – so far – it is actually well below recent averages.


The statistics were gathered by the **European Monitoring of Excess Mortality for Public Health Action** (EuroMOMO), an international partnership of agencies from 24 European nations aiming to promote preparedness for public health emergencies.

They track “excess mortality”, meaning the number of *officially recorded deaths vs the average death rate*.

We recommend you check their website, where each country is broken down by age demographics. Today, we’re focusing on their **maps**.

Here is the map showing Europe’s excess mortality for Week 12 of 2020 (19th-25th March):






As you can see, currently, the vast majority of Europe shows “no excess”. That means deaths are either at *or below* expected levels. Italy is the one obvious exception. But note it is only on “high”, not “very high”.

For some context, maybe we should compare it to previous years.


Here is week 6 of 2019:




As you can see, it's generally much worse. Several countries in “above average”, Spain and Portugal on “high”, and France is even “very high”.

We didn't have a global lockdown in 2019.

Here are weeks 1 & 2 of 2018:






This was the height of the huge 2017/18 flu season. As you can see, Europe was greatly affected.

We didn't have a global lockdown in 2018.

Week 2 of 2017 was even worse:



The whole of Western Europe experienced a huge spike in excess mortality, especially bad in all the Mediterranean countries.

We didn't have a global lockdown in 2017.

Obviously, things may change (week 13's results are due tomorrow), but – as it stands – the 2020 figures are *substantially* lower than the previous three years.

So, the question is, if we didn't have a lockdown in 2017, and we didn't have a lockdown in 2018, and we didn't have a lockdown in 2019...why do we have a lockdown now?



FILED UNDER: CORONAVIRUS, FEATURED, LATEST

TAGGED WITH: CORONVIRUS, EUROMOMO, MAPS, MORTALITY, STATISTICS

CAN YOU SPARE \$1.00 A MONTH TO SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA

OffGuardian does not accept advertising or sponsored content. We have no large financial backers. We are not funded by any government or NGO. Donations from our readers is our only means of income. Even the smallest amount of support is hugely appreciated.

Our Bitcoin JTR code is: **1JR1whUa3G24wXpDyqMKpieckMGGW2u2VX**



Connect with

[b](#) [i](#) [link](#) [b-quote](#) [u](#) [ul](#) [ol](#) [li](#) [code](#) [spoiler](#)

Join the discussion...

[Subscribe](#) ▾

[newest](#) [oldest](#) [most voted](#)



MAIZA



I'd have loved actual numbers, how many in EU got infected and died, and so on per country ... in March 2019 apparently 15,000 died of flu! Im not clear because nothing here says what >7 or <3.5 means, I know the symbols, more or less than ... but what's the 7? 7%, or 7,000, or 7million infected?

I went to link and is not much more explicative ... yeah, I can see the thousands, and ages ... but it still doesn't easily explain what any given # means ...

 0 |  0  Reply

 Apr 6, 2020 11:51 AM



Gus Cairns



Someone doesn't know what they're talking about here.

In the UK there are 614,000+ deaths a year. With COVID19 deaths so far at 6,000 that's <1%. Even Italy's 15,000 is less than 3%.

So why the big fuss?

a) We did indeed have considerably fewer deaths from winter flu this year, in Europe, thanks to a record mild winter. Ironically this may have "saved" temporarily some vulnerable people, who otherwise would not have been alive, to then die from COVID19;

b) Compression. The COVID deaths are happening within a period of 2 months.

c) If you look at any list of what people die from over a year, anywhere, the Big Beasts (CVD, cancer, diabetes) are always on top and vary little from month to month; they so over-predominate that even something like COVID19 initially makes little difference;

d) nonetheless, this is an infectious disease, unlike the Big Beasts. So if we did *nothing* COVID would quickly rise to the top of the list, with hundreds of thousands rather than thousands of excess deaths;

e) that would also mean the collapse of the health system, not least due to the deaths of many doctors and nurses, which would cause collateral deaths;

f) the people who die of COVID19 would otherwise have died from months to (in the case of some younger deaths) decades later, so its ultimate burden – the loss of those who would otherwise have lived – is spread over a long time period. That's the true mortality you need to be talking about.

Don't just ignore this piece – tell others to ignore it.

 3 |  -2  Reply

 Apr 5, 2020 8:37 PM 



asdf



Your points “d” through “f” don't seem well supported.

Care to provide some data? In particular, I would be interested to know how and why “herd immunity” refuses to work with this particular strain of corona virus but works with all others.

Also, I would be grateful if you could please help me understand how SARS-COV-2 would “rise to the top of the list” when the ratio of detected infections vs. tests seems to be static. That seems to suggest rather strongly that there isn't large growth in the rate of infection.

It seems that Swiss and German ICUs are showing no more than normal patient load, and in fact Germany is showing falling numbers of cases of acute respiratory diseases.

So it would appear that your apocalyptic visions aren't supported by publicly available data.


Oh, I get it, the “social distancing” is working, just like the tiger siren in Alaska.

1 | 0 | Reply

Apr 6, 2020 3:00 AM



Dieters



So... what about this?

?

w=600&h=325

It shows the rate of new infections is the same before and after the curfew.

The text accompanying this picture is here <https://swprs.org/a-swiss-doctor-on-covid-19/>

0 | 0 | Reply

Apr 6, 2020 2:10 PM



Hail



Week 13 now released, showing no general spike in total deaths.

Italy and Spain's late March 2020 death totals are about where they were at peak periods in past flu seasons, 2017 (both), 2018 (both), and (Spain only) 2019. France's totals have hardly moved. AFAICT, this data reflects conditions through about March 26. No sign of armageddon.

https://www.euromomo.eu/outputs/zscore_country_total.html

4 | 0 Reply

Apr 3, 2020 4:22 AM



Artemis



If you look at the refreshed figures for last week that have come out this week, you will see that they have gone up significantly, as well as the reported stats for this week starting to show the upward curve of two weeks ago. This is because this type of statistics are for monthly and yearly reporting; the weekly snapshots show a minimum number of deaths because it takes a few weeks for the data to be recorded, sent and processed. The death dates are reported dates, which are between two and 10 days after the actual death, and then those reports take time to reach the national statistics database. Processing used to take a couple of days, but since 2018 has been taking much longer, so the metadata clearly states that this is not the place to look for accurate stats for the previous week, as actual deaths will always be higher and they don't yet know by how much.

4 | -4 Reply

🕒 Apr 3, 2020 11:17 AM



Hail



I invite you to read their methodology. In it they explain that data collection varies by country. For the most important case here, Italy, all deaths are reported within 72 hours, Death+3days, so there is not much delay.

There is no country that reports some kind of lump-sum of deaths weeks later. Deaths are reported on a sliding scale. They give estimates for what % of deaths are reported by what point, e.g. 50% by Death+3days and 95% by Death+10days in some cases. When the full data comes in, they slightly adjust (blue line [adjusted] vs. red line [original]).

https://www.euromomo.eu/outputs/zscore_country_total.html

There remains no evidence for any spike in deaths above usual peak-flu activity, and no justification for this kind of response.

👍 6 | 0 🗨️ Reply

🕒 Apr 3, 2020 6:28 PM



Issac



Hi thanks for your points. I would like to suggest that comparing previous year excess mortality with current ones is not going to yield accurate results. As you rightly indicate, there are lockdowns going on, whose purpose of course is to stop transmission. If indeed these lockdowns are having the desired effect of lowering projected death rate due to Covid-19, it is only inevitable that the excess death rate would not far outstrip that in previous years. But that equally proves the efficacy of the lockdown.

 2 |  -4 [Reply](#)

 Apr 4, 2020 6:43 AM 



John



It doesn't prove the efficacy of the lockdown. If you shout virus, and keep people inside, and the mortality numbers remain the same, it proves nothing that the numbers remain the same and that somebody has been shouting. Obviously you have not only no scientific training... but you are making a classical mistake in basic logic.

What does give a good indication of scam and collective hysteria is that in London a large morgue has been built , there are reports everywhere of hospitals not having enough capacity to treat the ill, etc.

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8176839/Morgue-size-two-football-pitches-built-London-hold-coronavirus-victims.html?ito=amp_twitter_share-top

Now, if people note down the building of the morgue, and keep up with the official mortality rates in the UK for week 14 and 15 (and if possible localized numbers), we will see. Likely the authorities will keep the personnel working here silent, and the lying mouth of the press bureau will provide us with information.

 0 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 4, 2020 12:53 PM



Hail



As for lack of a large wave of deaths proving the efficacy of the lockdown, I am sure I don't have to tell you there is a risk of a serious(ly damaging) logical fallacy in that.

Relatedly, I have an anti-monster device. Yesterday I turned it on and plugged in your name and pressed 'activate.' No monsters attacked you for the day. I have protected you from monster attacks! I pressed the button, and none attacked, right? I'll generously keep using my Anti-Monster Protection Device on your behalf, but operating these anti-monster devices is not free. I think \$50 per day is a fair price. I mean, monster attacks! Fear!

 4 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 4, 2020 5:04 PM



Tony



The latest on Serology/Antibody Testing is as follows:

People in Britain should soon be able to secure an at-home coronavirus antibody test via Amazon or Boots and other high-street retail chemists, Public Health England said Wednesday.

But don't all rush at once – it's just another case of old wine in new bottles.

The UK government has ordered 3.5 million of the tests, and the claim is that the test will detect antibodies that an individual produces when fighting the virus. What you are not being told is that serology or antibody tests are unreliable as you can test positive due to current or past health conditions other than being due to the alleged coronavirus being the cause.

Moreover, there are no specific antibodies – they are non-specific for detecting viruses – the body will produce antibodies from plasma cells to all sorts of different antigens (proteins) or 'foreign agents' that are circulating in our bloodstream at the time of testing including other microorganisms toxins and 'wild peptides' when drugs combine with proteins. The notion that antibodies act by directly 'neutralising' viruses

has been well disputed by scientists including Albert Sabin, the developer of the oral polio vaccine, in the 1930s.

The problem is that antibodies have a proclivity to react with protein(s) that are not the protein(s) that induced the antibody in the first place. Proteins are very powerful antigens, but even if alleged coronavirus antibodies did exist, a test reaction does not prove that the antibodies are the definite partners of the coronavirus proteins.

Why is that? Because a given antigen (say a coronavirus protein) can react with antibodies directed against other antigens (cross-reactions) already in the body. This implies that there is no proof that any of the antibodies that react in the antibody tests are the result of an infection solely due to an alleged coronavirus.

The only way to determine that is to compare the antibody test with an independent means of verifying the presence or absence of the alleged coronavirus itself, AND such independent means can only be the coronavirus itself. Hence proving the existence of the coronavirus FIRST is absolutely necessary.

This implies that it is not possible to detect an allegedly specific coronavirus virus infection whether past or present from any serology/antibody test.

They had the same problem with HIV – here's the paper:-

HIV ANTIBODIES: FURTHER QUESTIONS AND A PLEA FOR CLARIFICATION

Eleni Papadopulos-Eleopulos (1), Valendar F.Turner (2), John M Papadimitriou (3), Gordon Stewart (4), and David Causer (1) Current Medical Research and Opinion Vol. 13: 627-634, 1997

 16 |  0  Reply

 Apr 2, 2020 2:17 PM





Juliet



Waiting time for results of NHS staff testing is currently taking 7 days.

My family household includes two Paramedics. The Paramedics were tested at the weekend because they both had a slight temperature and were self isolating. However, because of staff shortages both were instructed to return to work today 3 days before results their results are known.



2

0

Reply

Apr 2, 2020 11:15 PM



Tony



Hi Juliet,

Thanks for your reply.

What you have said comes as no surprise, I think they know very well that these 'tests' are unreliable anyway, even if a positive result is obtained, and one might add, if such 'tests' have any meaning at all. Different patients will produce different levels of antibodies anyway for a host of other different reasons i.e. reasons other than an alleged pathogenic virus. If one wants to blame a virus then serology/antibody tests are not the way to go about it as I have stated.

You need to isolate the virus from a diseased patient first before anything else in the lab and then prove that it is infectious using the proper controls but that is never ever done because they know full well that viruses don't cause disease. Rather, viruses that our cells produce e.g. retroviruses can be 'associated' or 'correlated' with a particular infection but that is not the same as saying they are a cause of it.

Virus theory itself is untenable for a number of reasons. One of them is that the theory is based on the idea that a single type of virus is the single cause of a particular infectious disease or illness. However, the theory can only work by DELIBERATELY EXCLUDING all other potential causes and conditions at work both inside and outside of the cells (and the body) from consideration which is not the way things work in the natural world or in a microbiology or a virology that claims to be in any way 'scientific'.

Alleged 'pathogenic viruses' also appear to have no determinate conditions of existence other than themselves – they are claimed to have 'evolved' as determinate unities of being consisting of a bunch of lifeless metabolically inert chemicals. Their origin is unknown. However, Professor Fred Hoyle and Chandra Wickramasinghe believe they came from outer space! (That's no joke see the pdf file).

<https://www.hoyle.org.uk/resources/virusesfromspacecompressed.pdf>

 3 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 3, 2020 11:27 AM



sabelmouse



i don't get notifications for new articles, or comments 😞

 1 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 10:33 AM



Artemis



If you actually read the small print on the ONS website, it says “These figures are based on the date the death was registered, not when it occurred; there is usually a delay of at least five days between occurrence and registration (more information is available in our impact of registration delays release)”
So they are last weeks figures.

 2 |  -9  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 10:39 PM 



Steve Hayes



And that delay between death and the registration of death has been consistent for over a hundred years. Thus the comparisons between corresponding periods are entirely reliable.

 17 |  -1  Reply

 Apr 2, 2020 10:55 AM



Artemis



Both this and the Public Health England data note the delay in records arriving in their system, in the Public Health England website it specifies that this is due to the NHS computer systems and result in the most recent figures being potentially lower than they should be as of 2018. So not sure they've actually got the data for last week yet, let alone this week.

 0 |  -8  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 10:34 PM



injected



Two days later and no new stats published. I wonder why.

 1 |  -2  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 9:03 PM



Artemis



Because the data has to go through the NHS computer system, which they don't know how to use efficiently at the best of times.

 3 |  0  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 10:31 PM



Tony



You'll find out soon enough Injected!! Perhaps the statisticians have all come down with the alleged 'virus' and COVID-19!

6 | 0 | Reply

Apr 2, 2020 10:02 AM



Tony



A live interview with Dr Patrick Quanten explains viruses, antibodies, vaccines and the scam in simple terms for those who are interested.



8 | -1 | Reply

🕒 Apr 1, 2020 8:45 PM



Tony



This is a must watch don't miss it. Make up your own mind!

👍 2 | -1 🗑️ Reply

🕒 Apr 1, 2020 8:50 PM



David G. Horsman



A notable over extension around 20 minutes :
Humans as food for mosquitoes.

👍 0 | 0 🗑️ Reply

🕒 Apr 4, 2020 7:16 AM



Tony



Yep, and we are steak on the table for the 1% at the top of the global hierarchy!

👍 1 | 0 🗑️ Reply

🕒 Apr 4, 2020 11:40 AM



george



Thank you for this article. It inspired me...

<https://twitter.com/advhtg1/status/1245332393529151489>

0 | 0 Reply

Apr 1, 2020 2:04 PM



Gene Genie



The answer to why there were no lockdowns in the previous 3 years, but there are lockdowns now is possibly because the financial bubble wasn't bursting then, but it is now.

24 | -2 Reply

Apr 1, 2020 12:05 PM



Tony



Hi Gene G,

The massive financial bubble has been slowly deflating for some time now and is rapidly running out of air that's why they need a mythical pathogenic virus to blame for it and also for COVID-19 symptoms and to buy a bit more time to figure out the next move to digital cash. See Dr Quanten's video I have recently posted on this thread – it makes a lot more sense and the sooner people come to their senses the better.

👍 11 | 0 🗑️ Reply

🕒 Apr 2, 2020 10:14 AM



Guy



I hate to say this ,but maybe Pompeo's statement was the truth when he said "This a live experiment ". I say this because like many on this forum ,I believe that the facts just don't add up from a rational , logical ,standpoint.Much for us that are critical thinkers does not make sense .It is all driven by fear and obfuscation of the statistics .
How easy it is to deceive .



 12 |  0  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 2:04 AM 



claudia



How do you explain that the wealthy and the royals got it to?

 3 |  -14  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 4:07 AM 



Richard Le Sarc



Any dead yet?

 8 |  -1  Reply

 Apr 1, 2020 8:52 AM 



Gavin Phillips



How do you know they got it? Did you personally test them? Even if they got it, they recovered fast.

 14 |  -1  Reply

Apr 1, 2020 12:04 PM



Tony



Gavin,

An apt comment. However, I must point out that there are no specific tests for this coronavirus NONE except the isolation of the whole viral genome itself which has never been done to date to prove infection. If that's the case then how can they claim that this alleged virus is the CAUSE of COVID-19. The simple answer is they CANNOT -period! You have to look for a gamut of OTHER causes which they are not doing because its easier to convince people its all down to a deadly disease causing virus when its NOT !!

5 | 0 | Reply

Apr 2, 2020 10:47 AM



Cicatriz



Pompeo's claim of this being a live exercise does not preclude the existence of a virus.

Pompeo's claim is ambiguous IMO anyway.

1 | 0 | Reply

Apr 1, 2020 12:11 PM



Guy



And Trump replied ,You could have told me .

Just maybe Pompeo was laughing in our face ,i.e. how easy it is to fool the public with the media and statistics.
Just saying.For the most part it is affecting the people already compromised and the numbers don't put any other years to shame.

 1 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 2:45 PM



Cicatriz



Where that crowd are concerned, I wouldn't rule anything out. I don't think they're capable of not playing asymmetric warfare. Their natural behaviour is to permanently lie and confiscate everything.

 4 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 10:47 PM



Cicatriz



Cofiscate = Obfuscate. Bloody autocorrect.

Although they do confiscate, too.

 2 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 12:30 AM



Tony



Cicatriz,

Its one thing to prove the physical existence of a particular virus, its another to try and prove that it and it alone is indeed infectious and is the sole cause of a particular disease. Don't fall for them trying to blame viruses that are not pathogenic and treating them as if they were!. See Dr Quanten's live interview on UK Column.

4 | 0 [Reply](#)

Apr 2, 2020 10:54 AM



Cicatriz



I'm not. I'm only pointing out that a psyop does not mean there isn't a virus. I think there is a lot if nonlinear warfare going on that is blending things that are with things that aren't.

We've seen the testing kits are unreliable, we've seen a lack of desire for random sampling. It's classic obfuscation.

1 | 0 [Reply](#)

Apr 2, 2020 11:51 AM



Tony



I agree psyop does not mean that there is not a virus. There are numerous viruses in our bodies mostly retroviruses inside our cells but none have been proven scientifically to be the single cause of a disease they are HARMLESS. Don't

be befuddled by all the stuff you read in standard microbiology textbooks and the so-called peer-reviewed papers that are currently published about pathogenic viruses they lead you down the garden path.

You need the original papers and you will never set eyes on them that conclusively demonstrate that any virus is 'pathogenic' despite what they claim. We are indeed in a 'silent war' and this 'coronavirus' and 'Covid-19'- in what other way you choose to define it (and that's the big problem) is just another 'silent weapon' being used against the global population. It sounds like you know all the rest or at least I hope you do.

Regards,

Tony

 4 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 12:26 PM



Tony



Hi Claudia,

Its an excellent way of demonstrating to the serfs that the coronavirus really is the cause of COVID-19 when its NOT – there is not one shred of scientific evidence that it is, and there is no 'test' available that can conclusively prove it.

Don't believe me, try requesting the original scientific paper that demonstrated the physical existence of a pathogenic coronavirus from the authorities on viruses by the correct methods of isolation and the proof that it is infectious -but not by serology tests and PCR. You will never ever receive a copy of the original paper because a 'pathogenic' coronavirus DOES NOT EXIST and therefore cannot be the cause of COVID-19.

It is high time this coronavirus and COVID-19 scam is put to rest but that's not going to happen because of the real mess the global economy and financial system is in. Good luck in your Freedom of Information Act request you'll

certainly need it if you decide to go ahead with it!

 6 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 10:37 AM



John



The media obviously live on sensationalism, they move from one hype to another, they live on emotionalism, they are the dictatorship of the day, they are totally and utterly worthless for any orientation into reality, they provide ideologically agendized pseudo realities, they create mass hysteria and collective psychosis. They are slavishly sleep walking subject to greater controllers who inject their agenda into this mass omnipresent twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week contamination system.

 30 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 2:00 AM



Judyj



Just heard on the BBC Radio news bulletin that “a thirteen year old boy and a 19 year old Italian chef in London” have died, believed to be the result of coronavirus. “Both teenagers were in good health according to their families”. Someone needs to remind the BBC that even teenagers “in good health” can die. Just ask Terry Yorath for one. When I was fifteen a class-mate “in good health” suffered a near fatal brain haemorrhage.

I wonder, should it be established that these poor boys died of something other than coronavirus, if the BBC will make it headline news to reveal this. I somehow doubt it.

 18 |  -1 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 11:12 AM 



Mike Ellwood



Highly unlikely because that would be “intrusion into the family’s private grief”. Unlike the first report, when they were Coronavirus newsfodder and fair game.

 4 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 5:30 PM 



Judyj



I see reports that the 19 year old collapsed clutching his chest and his lips turned purple. He was found to have ‘Covid 19’ in his system post mortem. Rather demonstrates the point we’ve all been making .

 5 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 8:22 PM 



Tony



Judy you hit the nail on the head:

I wonder, should it be established that these poor boys died of something other than coronavirus, if the BBC will make it headline news to reveal this. I somehow doubt it.

We are being brainwashed from morning to night with coronavirus this, coronavirus that, and with all the other warnings about this non-existent PATHOGENIC virus. No wonder most people can't think outside the box – they see deadly virus lurking everywhere ready and waiting to pounce and give them COVID-19. Virus Paranoia has already set in along with stress, anxiety and apprehension. We need concrete scientific proof that this alleged virus really does exist but it has NEVER been forthcoming from the authorities and what has been published concerning this alleged virus in the scientific papers that I have read is complete and utter obfuscation.

This leads to the crucial question that you have posed in relation to the above quote namely, causes at work OTHER THAN coronavirus. I can answer that question with a firm YES there are because there is no conclusive scientific proof – NONE that this alleged virus is infectious no matter how many times they claim it mutates!

The pathogenicity of coronavirus cannot be demonstrated as there are no specific tests to prove it because they haven't isolated any pure virus particles in the first place to use the genome as a gold standard of comparison for any nucleic acid sequences found in samples from patients and then prove they're infectious using proper experimental controls -QED.

Will what I have said make any difference to what people believe – probably not, but I'll carry on saying it anyway with the hope that someday the truth will eventually come out.

 3 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 11:26 AM





Judyj



Thanks, Tony. I believe that OffG are currently researching the fundamental question of what the current tests are looking for, how accurate they are and the i.d. of Covid-19 as a distinct strain of coronavirus. So we will hopefully be seeing much more on this in the next few days.

0 | 0 [Reply](#)

Apr 2, 2020 11:38 AM



Tony



Judy,

I've just posted something on this thread that addresses that issue – there are NO such tests available that can do that without proof that they have FIRST isolated the virus itself and then and only then come up with the proof that it is infectious using the proper methods and controls – since no serology (antibody tests) or PCR assays can do that without the actual virus as the gold standard of comparison.

I have now lost count of how many times I have repeated the facts that are required to be established and I am beginning to lose faith in humanity for being taken in by the official version of coronavirus and COVID-19.

2 | 0 [Reply](#)

Apr 2, 2020 2:38 PM



sabelmouse



like measles epidemics, you never get the "nobody died,all well" bit at the end.

 2 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 2, 2020 12:15 PM



Judyj



More from Peter Hitchens – interview on Sky News podcast. One point which I think he missed an opportunity to make was the very hasty extreme reduction in the IC modelling forecast of maximum mortalities. Laura Bundock refers to 250k as the figure as if it still stands. Peter could well have updated that, and mentioned the High Consequence Infectious Disease listing issue. But I appreciate he had limited time to make as many points as he could. The others discussing it showed an incredible and dispassionate naivety about the economic consequences of the 'lockdown'.

<https://news.sky.com/story/covid-19-pandemic-is-uk-beginning-to-flatten-the-curve-11966518>

 8 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 12:41 AM



Dani Tosheva



DDT NEWS!
Dystomous Draconian Times.
Time to sharpen Axes.

 3 |  0  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 10:08 PM



Josh



MOMO data is 3 weeks behind, and the hockey stick uptrend of cases/deaths in Italy started only around the start of March. It's too early and misleading to write this article. Wait another 2-3 weeks and we'll see where we are.

 13 |  -24  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 9:19 PM



Terry



So a person who has advanced cancer and is in hospice and gets COVIN-19 is assumed to die from the virus? Or a person with congestive heart failure who has a heart attack and has the virus is recorded as dying from the virus? I am sure the deep state and their globalist masters have manipulated the records in a way to cause the most panic.

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/03/report-italian-adviser-suggests-that-coronavirus-death-rates-in-italy-may-be-exaggerated/>

 19 |  -5  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 11:19 PM



mario



Josh, please read this carefully

Mortality monitoring in Europe

Welcome to the EuroMOMO website. We publish weekly bulletins of the all-cause mortality levels in up to 24 European countries or regions of countries. The weekly bulletin is published every Thursday around noon.

Don't spread lies, this is a WEEKLY bulletin.

2 | -1 [Reply](#)

Apr 2, 2020 8:36 AM



Gezzah Potts



Vexarb: regards the so Christian (not) Kansas City council pouring bleach on food meant to help homeless people..... Just disgusted. But at the same time, not surprised in the land of social darwinian dog eat dog Neoliberalism. I read a story about a n 80 year old man, I think in Florida, who was arrested for feeding the homeless! And many cities in the United States of Freedom (cough) where it is a crime for homeless people to sleep in a park or use a restroom to wash themselves. This is the dystopian outcome of turbo charged Capitalism. Utterly warped.

3 | 0 [Reply](#)

Nov 18, 2018 12:34 AM





mark

He was actually in his 90s, nearly 100. Great guy. He'd been doing this for decades.



👍 1 | 0 👎

Reply

🕒 Nov 18, 2018 2:32 AM



Judyj



ADMIN + 4

👍 0 | 0 👎

Reply

🕒 Apr 2, 2020 5:56 PM



David G. Horsman



Here's another, I find folks various views on immigration irrelevant when you are arresting people for leaving water in the desert.

That is fascism. Immigration management isn't that difficult.

👍 0 | 0 👎

Reply

🕒 Apr 4, 2020 7:25 AM



mark



In Florida, a charity volunteer in his nineties (he was nearly 100 years old and had been volunteering for decades) was arrested for giving hot food to homeless people. It isn't much better in the UK. Over here, homeless people sleeping in shop doorways are routinely sprayed with freezing cold water by water trucks. Society is probably more cruel now than it was in the time of Dickens. You wonder what kind of people can do this.

 4 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Nov 18, 2018 2:29 AM 



vexarb



@mark: "Society is probably more cruel now than it was in the time of Dickens."

And measurably less Christian. I remember a great article on Dickens by militantly christian GK Chesterton, who cited nutty christian Dostoevsky as praising "Dickens, the great Christian". Certainly it was a silly little b/w film about Mr.Pickwick leaving the debtors prison by renouncing vengeance which convinced me that GKC and FD were right about Dickens.

But I think the sneering streak of cruelty in modern UK regimes really arose with Maggie Snatcher and her Gospel of Greed: "There's no such thing as Society".

 3 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Nov 18, 2018 12:17 PM 



mark



Yes, sort of like Cameron, Osborne, Bojo and Co. burning a £50 note in front of a homeless person's face as part of their initiation into the Bullingdon Club.

👍 2 | 0 🗑️ Reply

🕒 Nov 19, 2018 4:56 AM



Yachob R.



Brilliant. Thanks for doing this important work. Keep sounding the alarm!

👍 16 | 0 🗑️ 🗨️ Reply

🕒 Mar 31, 2020 6:43 PM



Paul Vonharnish



There are numerous reasons for “augmenting” the statistical body count attributed to this “pandemic”. Jon Rappoport has been doing a great job of summarizing the falsity of the WHO data since day one.

“The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed—and hence clamorous to be led to safety—by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them imaginary.”

– H.L. Mencken –

(Henry Louis Mencken)

(September 12, 1880 – January 29, 1956)

See this for more details: A Swiss Doctor on Covid-19 – Swiss Propaganda Research

<https://swprs.org/a-swiss-doctor-on-covid-19/>

The world's economies have been tanked for at least the last seven years, whilst arbitrage brokers swap one worthless Ponzi token for another. The capitalist Ponzi game is nearing it's last move, and the covid pan-panic serves to distract an already distracted proletariat. The ploy is working well...

 28 |  0  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 4:11 PM



Mike Ellwood



On the Groaniad front page today:

“Orbán uses virus to seize extra powers in Hungary”.

Gosh! Thank goodness that could never happen here!

 36 |  -1  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 1:43 PM



Cicatriz



LOL.

I bet they thought that was insightful.

 12 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Mar 31, 2020 3:09 PM



Reg



Ha ha ha ha. These numpties have an ethereal lack of self-awareness.

 8 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Mar 31, 2020 4:49 PM



Dani Tosheva



Thanks for the big laugh, ME.

FYI, the Bulgarian NATO Puppet PM Borisov announced yesterday a 5000 Leva fine for failure to wear face masks in public... about 2,500 euros, being more than the average state Annual pension!

On the 20th April 2016, he also passed a law making it illegal to conceal your face in public.
See the problem? We Slavs do, very clearly, lol

 15 |  0 [Reply](#)

 Mar 31, 2020 8:40 PM



Waldorf

Well, in the film *Casablanca* Major Strasser tells Victor and Ilsa that it is dangerous for them to stay in Casablanca. It is also dangerous to leave Casablanca.

3 | 0 | Reply

Mar 31, 2020 10:16 PM



Waldorf

It can't happen here. So they say...

2 | 0 | Reply

Mar 31, 2020 10:18 PM



Steve Hayes

The Office for National Statistics has just published the number of registered deaths in England and Wales for the week ending the 20th of March. The number is 10, 645. This is slightly higher than the five yearly average for the corresponding week, which is 10, 573.

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales>

It is interesting to note that special mention is made by the Office of the coronavirus. Counting all deaths where the virus is mentioned (which is very different to saying that the virus caused the death), only amounts to 103 deaths, less than 1.0%.

 35 |  0  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 10:22 AM 



Ben McDonnell



Thank you for posting.

103 cases where the virus is mentioned as a contributory cause of death? For my benefit, where do you get 103? Indy and Grauniad have England 367, Scotland 13, Wales 7, 6 N.I. but that was deaths who had tested positive. So I guess you can test positive but it still might not be mentioned on the certificate as a contributor to death. I read somewhere today that UK legislation has changed to order that if Covid is mentioned on the certificate then it must automatically be a cause of death. Did anyone else hear that?

 6 |  0  Reply

 Mar 31, 2020 8:31 PM 



Oscar



<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/datasets/weeklyprovisionalfiguresondeathsregisteredinenglandandwales>

Download data here

 3 |  0  Reply

🕒 Mar 31, 2020 11:39 PM



Oscar



Not heard the change in legislation. Doesn't surprise me though... add fuel to the NWO theories doesn't it

👍 4 | 0 👎 Reply

🕒 Mar 31, 2020 11:41 PM



Mr R



<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi> If this is true you'll find it here. A statutory instrument is when they change a piece of legislation without bringing in new legislation .

👍 0 | 0 👎 Reply

🕒 Apr 1, 2020 4:14 PM



Artemis



“These figures are based on the date the death was registered, not when it occurred; there is usually a delay of at least five days between occurrence and registration (more information is available in our impact of registration delays release)”

 0 |  -2 [Reply](#)

 Apr 1, 2020 10:37 PM

[Load More Comments](#)



Copyright © 2020 OffGuardian.
[Privacy Policy](#).
powered by [WordPress](#) .
Theme: [OffGuardian](#) by [Wild Reality.net](#) .